

International Journal of Advanced Research Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJARTET) Vol. 3, Issue 1, January 2016

# Mechanical Characterization on Processed Coconut Shell Particulate Reinforced Epoxy Matrix Composite

Abstract— Fiber reinforced polymer composites have acquired a dominant place in variety of applications because of their high specific strength and modulus The use of synthetic fibers (glass, aramid, boron etc.) in composites tends to affect environment, on the other hand natural fibers (Coir, jute, flax etc.) are environmentally superior to synthetic fibers The objective of this study is to investigate the synthesis technique and mechanical characterization of processed coconut shell filler particulate reinforced with epoxy matrix.

Keywords: glass, aramid, boron, Coir, jute, flax

# I. INTRODUCTION

OTS of research was carried out on natural fiber composites. It had become a material of choice as an alternative to other traditional materials and has found applications in many sectors. Since the 1990s, natural fiber composites are emerging as realistic alternatives to glassreinforced composites in many applications. Natural fiber composites have also claimed to offer environmental advantages such as reduced dependence on non-renewabl energy sources, lower pollutant emissions, lower greenhouse gas emissions, enhanced energy recovery, and end of life biodegradability of components. Thus such superior environmental performance is an important driver of increased future use of natural fiber composites. As a result, a large amount of research has been dedicated to the use of natural fibre as a substitute for glass, carbon and synthetic fibres; driven by potential weight saving, lower raw material price, and ecological advantages of using resources which are renewable. Many research articles have reported the use of

various natural fibers, mostly derived from the bast or stem of the plants, including jute, sisal, flax and hemp.

In the area of bio-composite many research has been carried out and some of them are explained below. Those hybrid composite areas were helped to prepare a mono biocomposite with coconut filler and epoxy resin

A research has been carried out on preparation and characterization n preparation and characterization of chemically modified jute–coir hybrid fiber reinforced with epoxy resin. The maximum improvement on the properties was achieved for the hybrid composite containing the jute–coir content of 50: 50.Natural fiber based composite have been successfully manufactured by several methods wet layup, resin transfer molding and finally vacuum assisted resin infusion. The inherent incompatibility between natural fibers and synthetic matrix resulting in poor fibre–matrix adhesion is often an issue which needs to be addressed in order to improve the mechanical performance of the resulting bio-composites. The quality of fiber– matrix adhesion can be enhanced either through surface treatment or via improvement of manufacturing processes.



International Journal of Advanced Research Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJARTET) Vol. 3, Issue 1, January 2016

# II. METHODOLOGY

# A. MATERIALS

Instructions The experimental was started with the procurement of the Komeng coconut shell, epoxy resin, hardener, SRM mould and PP. The coconut shell was collected from the coconut farm .The resin used was epoxy resin 3554A with the density of 1.15 g/cm3. The SRM open mould type was used with rectangular shape according standard (ASTM D256) for Izod impact test and dumbbellshape samples follow the standard ASTM D2099 for tensile test.

# **B.** Sample preparation

The shell firstly was weighted using digital weighing machine then it were cleaned with fresh water and lastly dried at room temperature. After that all the coconuts shell were burnt in the oven with temperature ~80°C until 5 minutes so that it become coal or powdered ash. Total number of samples for each mould can produce maximum until 15 specimens in one time. Each mould has a cavity to accommodate the composite samples. Epoxy and hardener were mixed in a container and stirred well for 5-7 minutes. Before the mixture was placed inside the silicon rubber mould (SRM mould), the mould was initially polished with a release agent or wax to prevent the composites from sticking onto the mould upon removal. Firstly, AC from Komeng coconuts shell was weighted based on the percentage 8, 6, 4 and 2%. Then, PP were mixed as matrix into the AC with percentage 2, 4, 6 and 8% then finally encapsulated with epoxy resin. Finally, the mixture components were poured into the mould and left at room temperature for 24 hours until the mixture was hardened and compressed.

# C. Experimental methods

Procurement of materials:

The experiment started with the procurement of the coconut shell specimens, resin, hardener, mold and roller. Coconut shells were procured from a local grocer. Six pieces of coconut shells were ground to form a powder with the diameters of 50 to 200  $\mu$ m using a grinding machine. The density of coconut shell is 1.60 g/cm3 Polyester resin and hardener were procured from a local supplier in Madurai, Tamilnadu. The resin is polyester resin 3554A with the density of 1.15 g/cm3. The weight ratio of the resin and

hardener was 4:1.

Preparation of Polyester/Coconut:

The dimensions and shapes of cavities were made according to the size and shape of the samples as per ASTM Standard D 638-90 for tensile testing and ASTM Standard D 790-97 for flexural testing. Polyester and hardener were mixed in a container and stirred well for 5–7 minutes. Before the mixture was placed inside the mould, the mold has initially been polished with a release agent to prevent the composites from sticking onto the mold upon removal. Finally, the mixture was poured into the mold and left at room temperature for 24 hours until the mixture was hardened

o send a sheet of paper with complete contact information for all authors. Include full mailing addresses, telephone numbers, fax numbers, and e-mail addresses. This information will be used to send each author a complimentary copy of the journal in which the paper appears. In addition, designate one author as the "corresponding author." This is the author to whom proofs of the paper will be sent. Proofs are sent to the corresponding author only.

# D. Preparation of Composites

A mold of 300 mm  $\times$  300 mm  $\times$  15 mm having base of glass and sides of wood was used for casting the composite sheets. For quick and easy removal of the composite sheet a mold release sheet was kept over glass plate. The weight percents of coconut shell powder (i.e. 20, 30 and 40 weight %), was mixed with the matrix material consisting of epoxy resin and hardener in the ratio of 5:4.

The dimensions and shapes of cavities were made according to the size and shape of the samples as per ASTM Standard tensile testing and for flexural testing. Epoxy and hardener were mixed in the container and mixed thoroughly for 10 to 15 minutes for the uniform distribution of hardener otherwise fast exothermic reaction takes place and entire process gets spoiled. After that coconut shell particulate added to the mixture and stirred well for 10minutes for viscosity matching of particulate and resin.

Before the mixture is poured into the mould cavity a releasing agent (Mansion polish) were applied to the wooden mould for easy removal of specimen. Finally, the mixture was poured into the mould and left at room temperature for 48 hours until the mixture was hardened. When the composite were hardened, it was removed from the mold and finally composite were cured for 48 hours in room temperature.

#### III. EXPERIMENTATION PROCEDURE

# A. SPECIMEN PREPARATION

The sets of ball particles were used to prepare the

All Rights Reserved © 2016 IJARTET



International Journal of Advanced Research Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJARTET) Vol. 3, Issue 1, January 2016

reinforced composites. Epoxy LY 556 resin, chemically belonging to the 'epoxide' family is used as the matrix. Its common name is BisphenolA Diglycidyl Ether. The low temperature curing epoxy resin (Araldite LY 556) and corresponding hardener (HY951) are mixed in a ratio of 10:1 by weight as recommended. The epoxy resin and the hardener are supplied by Ciba Geigy India Ltd.

The weight percent ratio of coconut powder and epoxy + hardener was selected as 10% : 90%. This filler weight percent was chosen because it had been reported by Harimi et al [8] that reinforcement of epoxy with a filler weight percent of 15% had clearly noticeable effect on the mechanical properties of the composite. The density of the epoxy + hardener was found to be 1.16 g/cc from the MSDS of the manufacture. The density was determined as 1.3847 g/cc (at 600 degrees) and 2.339 g/cc (at 800 degrees).

Materials used in this experimental work are Epoxy resin, Hardener and Coconut shell powder. Epoxy resin Moditite EL 301 is a thermosetting epoxy resin of medium viscosity supplied by Ruchi Organics Limited, Kanpur, Uttarpradesh, India having outstanding properties as the matrix material like excellent adhesion to different materials, high resistance to chemical and atmospheric attack, high dimensional stability, excellent mechanical properties, nontoxic nature and negligible shrinkage.

Hardener MH-933 is used to harden matrix material. The chemical composition of coconut shell powder consists of Lignin (29.4%), Pentosans (27.7%), Cellulose (26.6%), Moisture (8%),Solvent Extractives(4.2%), Uronic Anhydrides(3.5%) and Ash(0.6%).The cleaned coconut shells were crushed into small pieces by using hammer. These small pieces then converted into powder by using hammer.

The collected powder was then sieved to different mesh sizes. For discriminating different CSP particle size about 1000g of CSP was put over the sieve shaker and shaken it for 20 min in shaker shown in Fig.1 (a). The sieves were arranged in the following order - crushed CSP, 600 micron (ASTM no. 8), 425 micron (ASTM no. 7), 300 micron (ASTM no. 6), 212 micron (ASTM no. 5) and then dust collector.

# B. Mold preparation

Wooden board was taken and a Teflon sheet placed on it. A frame with square size stick of dimensions (15x6x0.6)cm was made using hammer. Heavy duty silicon spray was spread inside the frame to easily release the mould. The mixture was poured in to the frame and spread it thoroughly in order to avoid voids. When it will slightly harden, put one Teflon sheet on it and pressed it with various loads. After one day, the specimens ware taken out from the frame.

# IV. RESULT

The mechanical properties of the coconut shell particle composite with the epoxy resin processed following the same procedure and the addition of the coconut fine crushed and the semi crushed particles results was discussed the maximum result was obtained the coconut fine crushed particles provides the most significant strengthening.



# V. CONCLUSION

A In this project the coconut shell was prepared to the required natural composites based on coconut shell filler particles at three different filler contents via, 40% and 50% was prepared to ASTM standard. A mold of 300 mm  $\times$  300 mm  $\times$  15 mm having base of glass and sides of wood was used for casting the composite sheets. For quick and easy removal of the composite sheet a mold release sheet was kept over glass plate.

The weight percents of coconut shell powder (i.e. 40 and 50 weight %), was mixed with the matrix material consisting of epoxy resin and hardener in the ratio of 5:4. The dimensions and shapes of cavities were made.

After conducting the mechanical testing properties of the coconut shell particle composite with the epoxy resin processed following the same procedure and the addition of the coconut fine crushed and the semi crushed particles results was discussed the maximum result was obtained the coconut fine crushed particles provides the most significant strengthening.

#### REFERENCES

 Devi K.A.; Nair C.P.R and Ninan K.N. (2003). Journal of Polymers and Polymer Composites. Vol. 11.pp.551-558



International Journal of Advanced Research Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJARTET) Vol. 3, Issue 1, January 2016

- [2] Dultseva L.D.; Suvorov, A.L.; Ostanina N.Y.; Shtrova, Y.V.; Survorova A.I. (2003). Journal of Int. Polymer Science and Technology. Vol. 30, pp.T/42-46.
- [3] Durand J. M., Vardavanlias M., Teandin M.(1995). Role of reinforcing ceramic particle in the wear behavior based model composites. Wear, 181-183, 837-839.
- [4] Ellis B.(1993). Chemistry and Technology of Epoxy Resins. Blackie, London.
- [5] Erdogan F, Sih GC. (1963). On the crack extension in plates under plane loading and transverse shear", Journal of Basic Engineering, Transactions of the ASME, Vol. 85D pp.519-27. 6. Fowkes, F.M. (1987). J. Adhes. Sci. Tech, Vol.1, pp.7.
- [6] Flowe, Harvey M. (1995). High performance materials in aerospace, pp.202-226.
- [7] Gracia M., Vilate G. Van, Jain S., Sahreuwen B. A.G., Sarkissov A., Zyl W. E. Van, Boukemp B. (2004). Polypropylene/SiO2 Nanocomposites With Improved Mechanical Properties. Rev. Adv. Material Science 6 pp. 169-175.
- [8] Gould, R.F.(ed.) 1970. Epoxy resins (Advances in chemistry series No.92). American Chemical Society, Washington. 1
- [9] 0.Guhartz, Wolfgang and Yamamoto, Y. Stephen. (1986). Ullmann's Encylopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Vol.47, pp.369-410.
- [10] J. G. Kreifeldt, "An analysis of surface-detected EMG as an amplitudemodulated noise," presented at the 1989 Int. Conf. Medicine and Biological Engineering, Chicago, IL.
- [11] J. Williams, "Narrow-band analyzer (Thesis or Dissertation style)," Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Elect. Eng., Harvard Univ., Cambridge, MA, 1993.
- [12] N. Kawasaki, "Parametric study of thermal and chemical nonequilibrium nozzle flow," M.S. thesis, Dept. Electron. Eng., Osaka Univ., Osaka, Japan, 1993.
- [13] J. P. Wilkinson, "Nonlinear resonant circuit devices (Patent style)," U.S. Patent 3 624 12, July 16, 1990.
- [14] IEEE Criteria for Class IE Electric Systems (Standards style), IEEE Standard 308, 1969.
- [15] Letter Symbols for Quantities, ANSI Standard Y10.5-1968.
- [16] R. E. Haskell and C. T. Case, "Transient signal propagation in lossless isotropic plasmas (Report style)," USAF Cambridge Res. Lab., Cambridge, MA Rep. ARCRL-66-234 (II), 1994, vol. 2.
- [17] E. E. Reber, R. L. Michell, and C. J. Carter, "Oxygen absorption in the Earth's atmosphere," Aerospace Corp., Los Angeles, CA, Tech. Rep. TR-0200 (420-46)-3, Nov. 1988.
- [18] (Handbook style) Transmission Systems for Communications, 3rd ed., Western Electric Co., Winston-Salem, NC, 1985, pp. 44–60.