

A Fuzzy Production Inventory Model with Wastage item under Two Constraints using Regular Weighted Point of Lotus Petal Fuzzy Number

R.Dhanalakshmi²

K.Dhanam¹ and Principal, Sri Bharathi Arts and Science College for Women, Kaikuruchi¹ Research scholar, Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College for Women (Auto)² Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu, India.1 & 2 dhanamsakthivel@yahoo.co.in1, dhanamalar77@gmail.com2

Abstract— In this paper, a fuzzy production inventory model with wastage item and two warehouse under two constraints have been considered. In this model the deterioration rate and demand rate considered as random variable and the production rate depends directly on demand rate. The lotus petal fuzzy number is defined and its properties are given. The parameters involved in this model are represented by lotus petal fuzzy number. The expected average total cost is defuzzified by the regular weighted point technique. The analytical expressions for expected inventory level of temporary warehouse, maximum inventory (sugar and wastage item) levels are derived. The optimum values of time are determined by using nonlinear programming technique. A numerical example is presented to illustrate the results.

Keyword- lotus petal fuzzy number, regular weighted point technique, temporary warehouse cost constraint, machine time constraint.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): 90B05, 03E72.

I. INTRODUCTION

Inventory problems are common in manufacturing, service and business operations in general. Some inventory models were formulated in a static environment where the demand is assumed to be constant and steady over a finite planning horizon. Many items of inventory such as electronic products, fashionable clothes, tasty food products treated as randomness and are handled by probability theory. etc.,

Most of the existing inventory models in the literature assume that items can be stored indefinitely to meet the future demands. However, certain types of commodities either deteriorate or become obsolete in the course of time and hence are unstable. Therefore, if the rate of deterioration is not sufficiently low, its impact on modelling of such an inventory model cannot be ignored. In this connections, inventory problems for deteriorating items have been studied extensively by many researchers [3],[6],[7] and [9] from time to time. Research in this area started with the work of Whitin [10], who considered fashion goods deteriorating at the end of prescribed storage period. Goyal and Giri [5] gave recent trends of modelling in

deteriorating item inventory. The inventory model for variable demand and production is given in [1]. A production inventory model for variable demand and production is explained in [2]. Samantha and Ajanta roy work based on realistic production lot-size inventory model for deteriorating items is given in [8].

In conventional inventory models, uncertainties are Furthermore, when addressing real world problems, frequently the parameters are imprecise numerical quantities. However, in certain situations, uncertainties are due to fuzziness and in such cases the fuzzy set theory introduced by Zadeh [11] is applicable. A. Faritha Asma and E.C. Henry Amirtharaj analized multi objective inventory model of deteriorating items with two constraints using fuzzy optimization technique [4].

In the real situation, at the time of production the deterioration rate and demand rate are varied. So that the deterioration rate and demand rate are considered as a random variable which follows rayleigh distribution and bounded pareto distribution respectively.



they used only rented warehouse and own warehouse. When using the rented warehouse the transportation cost, rental $I_3(t)$ – the level of inventory(sugar) in permanent warehouse and maintenance cost are all high. Here, the model newly constructed with permanent warehouse and temporary warehouse.

inventory model, so these days researcher are paying more rent in stored the goods. The temporary warehouse used for reducing the rented amount and maintenance cost.

This paper is organized as follows:

In section 2, assumptions and notations for the fuzzy production inventory model under consideration are given. The mathematical formulation for the proposed model is explained in crisp environment under section 3. In section 4, lotus petal fuzzy number is defined and its properties are given. To defuzzify the model, the regular weighted point of lotus petal fuzzy number is determined in section 5. In section 6, the mathematical model is explained in fuzzy environment. In section 7, an application of this model is t_1 - machine time available for product. given in both environment.

II. ASSUMPTIONS AND NOTATIONS

The following assumptions and notations are used throughout this paper:

Assumptions

- 1. Demand rate for inventory(sugar and wastage item) are taken as a random variable which follows bounded pareto distribution.
- 2. Production rate is demand dependent that is p = cd, 0 < c < n, n is a finite number.
- 3. Deterioration rate for inventory(sugar and wastage item) are taken as a random variable which follows reyleigh distribution.
- 4. First, the goods(sugar) are stored in permanent warehouse then the remaining goods(sugar) are stored in temporary warehouse.
- 5. The goods(sugar) of permanent warehouse are consumed only after consuming the goods(sugar) kept in temporary warehouse.
- The permanent warehouse has a fixed capacity of I_P 6. units.
- The inventory(suger and wastage item) levels 7. depleted due to demand and deterioration.
- 8. Shortages are not allowed.

Notations

 $I_1(t)$ – the level of inventory(sugar) at time t, $0 \le t \le t_1$.

- In the existing inventory models, $I_2(t)$ the level of inventory(sugar) in temporary warehouse at time t, $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$
 - at time t, $t_1 \leq t \leq t_4$
 - $W_1(t)$ the level of inventory(wastage item) at time t, $0 \leq t \leq t_1$
- As warehouse is a key factor in production $W_2(t)$ the level of inventory(wastage item) at time t, $t_1 \leq t \leq t_3$
 - I_P fixed capacity for permanent warehouse at time t_1 .
 - I_m expected maximum inventory(sugar) level at time t_1 . (decision variable)
 - I_w expected maximum inventory(wastage item) level at time t_1 . (decision variable)
 - I_T expected maximum inventory(sugar) level of temporary warehouse at time t_1 (decision variable)
 - p production rate for inventory (sugar).
 - D demand rate for inventory(sugar).
 - θ deterioration rate for inventory(sugar).
 - d_1 demand rate for inventory(wastage item).
 - θ_1 deterioration rate for inventory (wastage item).

 - TC expected average total cost per cycle.
 - S_c fuzzy setup cost per cycle.
 - h_c fuzzy holding cost for inventory(sugar) per unit per unit
 - d_{a} fuzzy deteriorating cost for inventory(sugar) per unit per

unit time.

- $h_{\rm m}$ fuzzy holding cost for inventory(wastage item) per unit per unit time.
- d_{w} fuzzy deteriorating cost for inventory(wastage item) per unit per unit time.
- \widetilde{T}_{uv} fuzzy cost for 1 square feet of temporary warehouse.
- $T_{\rm ws}$ fuzzy total space for temporary warehouse.
- \tilde{T}_{twc} fuzzy total temporary warehouse cost.
- $T_{\rm m}$ fuzzy machine time consumed per month.
- \widetilde{m} fuzzy number of months for production.

III. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION AND SOLUTION

The proposed inventory model is formulated to minimize the average total cost, which includes setup cost,



11 10

International Journal of Advanced Research Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJARTET) Vol. 5, Special Issue 12, April 2018

holding cost, deterioration cost and using the boundary condition $I_2(t) = I_T$ at $t = t_1$ temporary warehouse cost. The rate of change of the inventory during the following periods are governed by the following differential equations:

$$\frac{dI_1(t)}{dt} + \theta I_1(t) = p - d \qquad 0 \le t \le t_1 \tag{1}$$

with boundary condition $I_1(t) = 0$ at t = 0

$$\frac{dI_2(t)}{dt} + \theta I_2(t) = -d \qquad t_1 \le t \le t_2 \tag{2}$$

with boundary conditions $I_2(t) = 0$ at $t = t_2$ and $I_2(t) = I_T$ at $t = t_1$

$$\frac{dI_{3}(t)}{dt} + \theta I_{3}(t) = 0 \qquad t_{1} \le t \le t_{2}$$
(3)

with boundary conditions $I_3(t) = I_P at t = t_1$

$$\frac{dI_3(t)}{dt} + \theta I_3(t) = -d \qquad t_2 \le t \le t_4 \qquad (4)$$

with boundary condition $I_3(t) = 0$ at $t = t_4$

Inventory level

$$\frac{dW_1(t)}{dW_1(t)} + \thetaW_1(t) = -d$$

$$\frac{d W_1(t)}{dt} + \theta W_1(t) = -d \qquad 0 \le t \le t_1$$

with boundary condition $W_1(t) = 0$ at t=0

$$\frac{dW_2(t)}{dt} + \theta W_2(t) = -d \qquad t_1 \le t \le t_3 \tag{6}$$

with boundary condition $W_2(t) = 0$ at $t = t_3$ and $W_2(t) = I_w at t = t_1$

From (1)
$$I_1(t) = \frac{p-d}{\theta} \left(1 - e^{-\theta t} \right)$$
 (7)

From (2) $I_2(t) = \frac{d}{\theta} \left(e^{\theta (t_2 - t)} - 1 \right)$

$$I_T = \frac{d}{\theta} \left(e^{\theta (t_2 - t_1)} - 1 \right) \tag{8}$$

From (3)
$$I_3(t) = I_p e^{\theta(t_1 - t)}$$
 (9)

From (4)
$$I_3(t) = \frac{d}{\theta} \left(e^{\theta(t_4 - t)} - 1 \right)$$
 (10)

From (5)
$$W_1(t) = \frac{d_1}{\theta_1} \left(e^{-\theta_1 t} - 1 \right)$$
 (11)

From (6)
$$W_2(t) = \frac{d_1}{\theta_1} \left(e^{\theta_1(t_3 - t)} - 1 \right)$$

using the boundary condition $W_2(t) = I_w$ at $t = t_1$

$$\frac{d_1}{\theta_1} \left(e^{\theta_1(t_3 - t_1)} - 1 \right) \tag{12}$$

The maximum inventory(sugar) level per cycle is (13) $I_m = I_T + I_P$

Expected demand rate for inventory(sugar) is

 $\frac{\pi}{2}$

$$E(d) = \frac{L^{\alpha}}{1 - \left(\frac{L}{H}\right)^{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right) \left(\frac{1}{L^{\alpha - 1}} - \frac{1}{H^{\alpha - 1}}\right)$$

Expected deterioration rate for inventory(sugar) is

$$E(\theta) = \sigma_{1}$$

 $I_W =$

Expected demand rate for inventory(wastage item) is

$$E(d_1) = \frac{L_1^{\alpha_1}}{1 - \left(\frac{L_1}{H_1}\right)^{\alpha}} \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - 1}\right) \left(\frac{1}{L_1^{\alpha_1 - 1}} - \frac{1}{H_1^{\alpha_1 - 1}}\right)$$

Expected deterioration rate for inventory(wastage item) is

$$E(\theta_1) = \sigma_1 \sqrt{\frac{\pi_1}{2}}$$

Expected average total cost

$$= \frac{1}{t_4} \begin{bmatrix} setup \cos t + E(holding \cos t) \\ + E(\det erioration \cos t) \\ + temporary warehouse \cos t \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)

where,

Setup cost =
$$S_c$$

All Rights Reserved © 2018 IJARTET



Holding cost = Holding cost for $Min E(TC(t_1, t_2))$ inventory(sugar) (HC_s)

+Holding cost for inventory(wastage item) (HC_w)

$$HC_{S} = h_{c} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t_{1}} I_{1}(t) dt + \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} (I_{2}(t) + I_{3}(t)) dt + \int_{t_{2}}^{t_{4}} I_{3}(t) dt \right\}$$

$$=h_{c}\left\{\frac{\frac{p-d}{\theta^{2}}\left(e^{-\theta t_{1}}-1\right)+}{\frac{d}{\theta^{2}}\left(e^{\theta (t_{2}-t_{1})}+e^{\theta (t_{4}-t_{2})}-2+\theta t_{1}-\theta t_{4}\right)\right\}}{+I_{p}\left(\frac{1-e^{\theta (t_{1}-t_{2})}}{\theta}\right)$$
$$HC_{W}=h_{w}\left\{\int_{0}^{t_{1}}W_{1}(t) dt+\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{3}}W_{2}(t) dt\right\}$$

$$=h_{w}\frac{d_{1}}{\theta_{1}}\left\{\left(\frac{e^{\theta_{1}(t_{3}-t_{1})}-\theta_{1}t_{1}}{\theta_{1}}-t_{3}\right)\right\}$$

Deterioration cost = deteriorationcost for inventory (sugar) (DC_s) + deterioration cost for

inventory(wastage item) (DC_w)

$$DC_{S} = d_{c} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t_{1}} I_{1}(t) dt - \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{4}} d dt \right\}$$
$$= d_{c} \left\{ \frac{p - d}{\theta^{2}} \left(e^{-\theta t_{1}} + \theta t_{1} - 1 \right) - d(t_{4} - t_{1}) \right\}$$
$$DC_{W} = d_{w} \left\{ \int_{0}^{t_{1}} W_{1}(t) dt - \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{3}} d_{1} dt \right\}$$
$$= d_{w} \left\{ \frac{d_{1}}{\theta_{1}^{2}} \left(1 - e^{-\theta_{1} t_{1}} - \theta_{1} t_{1} \right) - d_{1}(t_{3} - t_{1}) \right\}$$

Temporary warehouse cost = temporary warehouse cost for inventory (sugar) (TWC_S) $TWC_S = T_{wc} \times T_{ws}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Min } E(TC(t_{1},t_{2},t_{3},t_{4})) = \\ & \left[S_{c} + h_{c} \begin{cases} \frac{c E(d) - E(d)}{E(\theta)^{2}} \left(e^{-E(\theta)t_{1}} - 1 \right) \\ + \frac{E(d)}{E(\theta)^{2}} \left(e^{E(\theta)(t_{2}-t_{1})} + e^{E(\theta)(t_{4}-t_{2})} - 2 + E(\theta)t_{1} - E(\theta)t_{4} \right) \right] \\ + I_{p} \left(\frac{1 - e^{E(\theta)(t_{1}-t_{2})}}{E(\theta)} \right) \\ + h_{w} \frac{d_{1}}{d_{1}} \left\{ \left(\frac{e^{\theta}1(t_{3} - t_{1}) - e^{-\theta}1t_{1}}{\theta_{1}} - t_{3} \right) \right\} \\ + d_{c} \left\{ \frac{c E(d) - E(d)}{E(\theta)^{2}} \left(e^{-E(\theta)t_{1}} + E(\theta)t_{1} - 1 \right) - E(d)(t_{4} - t_{1}) \right\} \\ + d_{w} \left\{ \frac{d_{1}}{\theta_{1}^{2}} \left(1 - e^{-\theta_{1}t_{1}} - \theta_{1}t_{1} \right) - d_{1}(t_{3} - t_{1}) \right\} + T_{wc} T_{ws} \end{aligned} \right] \\ & \text{subject to } : T_{wc} T_{ws} \leq T_{twc}, T_{m} m \leq t_{1} \end{aligned}$$

$$(15)$$

Solve the objective function using MATLAB software, the values of t_1^*, t_2^*, t_3^* and t_4^* are obtained. Substituting t_1^*, t_2^*, t_3^* and t_4^* in (8), (12), (13) and (15), the optimum values t_7^*, t_{w}^*, t_m^* and TC^* are obtained.

IV LOTUS PETAL FUZZY NUMBER AND ITS PROPERTIES

DEFINITION: LOTUS PETAL FUZZY NUMBER

Fig 2: Lotus Petal Fuzzy Number



A Lotus petal fuzzy number \widetilde{A} described as a normalized convex fuzzy subset on the real line R whose membership function $\mu_{\widetilde{A}}(x)$ is defined as follows:

 $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & at \quad x = a, c \\ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{\frac{x-a}{b-a}} \end{bmatrix} & at \quad a \le x \le b \\ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{\frac{c-x}{c-b}} \end{bmatrix} & at \quad b \le x \le c \\ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \left(1 - \frac{c-x}{c-b}\right)^2 \end{bmatrix} & at \quad c \ge x \ge b \\ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \left(1 - \frac{x-a}{b-a}\right)^2 \end{bmatrix} & at \quad b \ge x \ge a \\ 0 \& 1 & at \quad x = b \end{cases}$

This type of fuzzy number be denoted as
$$\widetilde{A} = [a, b, c]$$
, whose membership function $\mu_{\widetilde{A}}(x)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- 1. $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ is a continuous mapping from R to the closed interval [0,1]
- 2. $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ is a convex function.

3.
$$\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = 0 \& 1 \text{ at } x = b.$$

- 4. $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ at x= a & c.
- 5. $\mu_{\tilde{A}}(x)$ is strictly decreasing as well as increasing and continuous on [a,b] and [b,c].

Properties:

- 1. Left and right opposite angles are equal.
- 2. The horizontal and vertical diagonal bisect each other and meet at 90° .
- 3. The lower angle is twice that of the upper angle.

IV. REGULAR WEIGHTED POINT OF LOTUS PETAL FUZZY NUMBER

For the Lotus petal fuzzy number $\tilde{A} = [a, b, c]$, the α -cut is $\tilde{A}_{\alpha} = [L_{\tilde{A}}(\alpha), R_{\tilde{A}}(\alpha)]$ and the regular weighted point for \tilde{A} is given by,

$$r_{w}(\tilde{A}) = \frac{\int_{0}^{1} \frac{L_{\tilde{A}}(\alpha) + R_{\tilde{A}}(\alpha)}{2} f_{1}(\alpha) d\alpha}{\int_{0}^{1} f(\alpha) d\alpha}$$
$$= \int_{0}^{1} \left[L_{\tilde{A}}(\alpha) + R_{\tilde{A}}(\alpha) \right] f_{1}(\alpha) d\alpha$$

where,

 $(\alpha) =$

$$f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} (1-2\alpha) & \text{when } \alpha \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \\ (2\alpha-1) & \text{when } \alpha \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \omega(1-2\alpha) & \text{when } \alpha \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] \\ (1+\omega)(2\alpha-1) & \text{when } \alpha \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \end{cases} 0 < \omega < 1$$

The regular weighted point of a lotus petal fuzzy number is of the form

$$\omega(\tilde{A}) = \omega \left(\frac{31a + 58b + 31c}{120}\right) + \left(\frac{a + 2b + c}{8}\right)$$

V. INVENTORY MODEL IN FUZZY ENVIRONMENT

The proposed inventory model in fuzzy environment is $Min E(\tilde{T}C(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)) =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{c E(d) - E(d)}{E(\theta)^{2}} \left(e^{-E(\theta) t_{1}} - 1 \right) \\ + \frac{E(d)}{E(\theta)^{2}} \left(e^{E(\theta) (t_{2} - t_{1})} + e^{E(\theta) (t_{4} - t_{2})} - 2 + E(\theta) t_{1} - E(\theta) t_{4} \right) \\ + I_{p} \left(\frac{1 - e^{E(\theta) (t_{1} - t_{2})}}{E(\theta)} \right) \\ + I_{p} \left(\frac{1 - e^{E(\theta) (t_{1} - t_{2})}}{E(\theta)} \right) \\ + \tilde{h}_{w} \frac{E(d_{1})}{E(\theta_{1})} \left\{ \left(\frac{e^{E(\theta_{1}) (t_{3} - t_{1})} - e^{-E(\theta_{1}) t_{1}}}{E(\theta_{1})} - t_{3} \right) \right\} \\ + \tilde{d}_{c} \left\{ \frac{c E(d) - E(d)}{E(\theta)^{2}} \left(e^{-E(\theta) t_{1}} + E(\theta) t_{1} - 1 \right) - E(d) (t_{4} - t_{1}) \right\} \\ + \tilde{d}_{w} \left\{ \frac{E(d_{1})}{E(\theta_{1})^{2}} \left(1 - e^{-E(\theta_{1}) t_{1}} - E(\theta_{1}) t_{1} \right) - E(d_{1}) (t_{3} - t_{1}) \right\} + \tilde{T}_{wc} \tilde{T}_{ws}$$
(16)

subject to : \tilde{T}_{wc} $\tilde{T}_{ws} \leq \tilde{T}_{twc}$, \tilde{T}_m $\tilde{m} \leq t_1$ where ~ represents for fuzzification of the parameters.

All Rights Reserved © 2018 IJARTET



$$\begin{split} \widetilde{d}_{w} &= (d_{w1}, d_{w2}, d_{w3}), \ \widetilde{T}_{wc} = (T_{wc1}, T_{wc2}, T_{wc3}), \\ \widetilde{d}_{c} &= (d_{c1}, d_{c2}, d_{c3}), \ \widetilde{T}_{ws} = (T_{ws1}, T_{ws2}, T_{ws3}), \\ \widetilde{T}_{twc} &= (T_{twc1}, T_{twc2}, T_{twc3}), \ \widetilde{T}_{m} = (T_{m1}, T_{m2}, T_{m3}), \\ \widetilde{m} &= (m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}). \end{split}$$

Now using the technique, regular weighted point of lotus petal fuzzy number, the above model is defuzzified as follows

$$Min E(r_w(TC(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)))$$

$$= \frac{1}{t_4} \left\{ r_w(S_c) + r_w(h_c) \left\{ \frac{\frac{e E(d) - E(d)}{E(\theta)^2} \left(e^{-E(\theta) t_1} - 1 \right) + \frac{E(d)}{E(\theta)^2} \left(e^{E(\theta) (t_2 - t_1)} + e^{E(\theta) (t_4 - t_2)} - 2 + E(\theta) t_1 - E(\theta) t_4 \right) + I_p \left(\frac{1 - e^{E(\theta) (t_1 - t_2)}}{E(\theta)} \right) + I_p \left(\frac{1 - e^{E(\theta) (t_1 - t_2)}}{E(\theta)} \right) + r_w(h_w) \frac{E(d_1)}{E(\theta_1)} \left\{ \left(\frac{e^{E(\theta_1) (t_3 - t_1)} - e^{-E(\theta_1) t_1}}{E(\theta_1)} - t_3 \right) \right\} + r_w(d_c) \left\{ \frac{e E(d) - E(d)}{E(\theta)^2} \left(e^{-E(\theta) t_1} + E(\theta) t_1 - 1 \right) - E(d) (t_4 - t_1) \right\} + r_w(d_w) \left\{ \frac{E(d_1)}{E(\theta_1)^2} \left(1 - e^{-E(\theta_1) t_1} - E(\theta_1) t_1 \right) - E(d_1) (t_3 - t_1) \right\} + r_w(T_{wc}) r_w(T_{ws}) \right\}$$

$$(17)$$

Subject to :

$$\begin{aligned} r_w(T_{wc}) & r_w(T_{ws}) \leq r_w(T_{tw}) \\ r_w(T_m) & r_w(m) \leq t_1 \end{aligned}$$

COMDADISON TADI E 7.1

 $\widetilde{S}_{c} = (S_{c1}, S_{c2}, S_{c3}), \quad \widetilde{h}_{c} = (h_{c1}, h_{c2}, h_{c3}), \quad \widetilde{h}_{w} = (h_{w1}, S_{w2}, h_{w3}), \quad \text{objective function using MATLAB software,} \\ \text{the values of } t_{1}^{*}, t_{2}^{*}, t_{3}^{*} \text{ and } t_{4}^{*} \text{ are obtained. Substituting} \\ d_{w3}), \quad \widetilde{T}_{wc} = (T_{wc1}, T_{wc2}, T_{wc3}), \quad t_{1}^{*}, t_{2}^{*}, t_{3}^{*} \text{ and } t_{4}^{*} \text{ in (8), (12), (13) and (17), the optimum} \\ c_{3}), \quad \widetilde{T}_{ws} = (T_{ws1}, T_{ws2}, T_{ws3}), \quad \text{values } t_{1}^{*}, t_{w}^{*}, t_{w}^{*}, t_{w}^{*} \text{ and } TC^{*} \text{ are obtained.}$

VI.NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In sugar factory, there are two warehouse (permanent and temporary). In permanent warehouse it has constant capacity, but in temporary warehouse holds its inventory(sugar) in constainers. The wastage item is sold at the time of manufacturing.

The following values of the parameter in proper unit were considered as input for the numerical result of the above problem.

L = 100, H = 1000, α = 0.5, c = 2, σ = 5, π = 3.14, L₁ = 50, H₁ = 500, α_1 = 0.25, σ_1 = 2.5, π_1 = 3.14, I_p = 50000 Ton.

All costs are taken in rupees.

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{S}_c &= (1000000, \ 2000000, \ 3000000), \ \widetilde{h}_c = (5000, \ 6000, \\ 7000), \ \widetilde{d}_c = (500, \ 600, \ 700), \ \widetilde{h}_w = (1000, \ 2000, \ 3000), \\ \widetilde{d}_w &= (100, \ 200, \ 300), \ \widetilde{T}_{twc} = (500000, \ 6000000, \ 7000000) \,, \end{split}$$

 \widetilde{T}_{wc} = (200, 250, 300), \widetilde{T}_{ws} =(2000,2050,2100) in sqft,

 $\widetilde{T}_m = (25,27,29)$ in days, $\widetilde{m} = (3,4,5)$ in months. Using MATLAB software, the optimum values T_T^*, T_m^*, T_w^* and TC^* are tabulated below:

COMI ARISON TABLE 7.1									
Model	t ₁ *	$\mathbf{t_2}^*$	t ₃ *	t ₄ *	I _T *	I _m */Ton	I _w *	TC*	
	/mont	/mont	/mont	/month	/Ton		/Ton	Rs	
	h	h	h						
Crisp	3.0567	4.2073	4.2825	5.3105	68,134	1,18,130	16,327	2,77,66,000	
1									
Crisp	3.6509	4.7895	4.8052	5.8798	63,196	1,13,200	11,399	2,80,54,000	
2									
Crisp	4.6492	5.7856	5.7998	6.8746	62,330	1,12,330	11,189	2,78,78,000	(
3									
Fuzzy	4.9635	6.1486	6.2104	7.2878	84,586	1,34,590	18,152	2,56,91,000	Γ

OBSER VATIO N

From the above table, it should be noted that compared to crisp model, the fuzzy model is very effective



fuzzy analysis and the optimal results are obtained easily.

- The average total cost is obtained in fuzzy model is i. less than the crisp model.
- The optimal values I_T^*, I_m^*, I_w^* in fuzzy model are Inventory Control. ii. higher than the crisp model.

REFERENCES

- [1] SU Chao-Ton , LIN Chang-wang (1999) "A Production Inventory Model for Variable demand and production" YugoslavJournal or Operation Research 9, Number 2, 197-206.
- [2] HJ Chang, CY Dye (1999) "An EOQ model for deteriorating items with time varying demand and partial backlogging". J Oper Res Soc 50:1176 - 1182.
- [3] KJ Chung, TS Ting, "A heuristic for replenishment for deteriorating items with a linear trend in demand", J Oper Res Soc, 44 (1993), 1235-1241.
- [4] A Faritha Asma and E.C Henry Amirtharaj, "Solving multi objective inventory model of deteriorating items with two constraints using fuzzy optimization technique", Intern. J. Fuzzy mathematical Archive, 10 (ISSN: 2320-3242(P), 2320- 3250(online)) (2016), 41-48.
- [5] SK Goyal, BC Giri, "Recent trends in modelling of deteriorating inventory", Eur J Oper Res, 134 (2001),1-16.
- [6] VK Mishra, LS Singh, "Deteriorating inventory model with time dependent demand and partial backlogging", Appl Math Sci,4 (2010),3611-3619.
- [7] D Niketa, Trivedi, Nehal J.Shukla and H Nita. Shah, "Inventory model for deteriorating items with fixed life under quadratic demand and nonlinear holding cost", IJEIT, 3 (ISSN:2277-3754) (2014).
- [8] G.P Samanta, Ajanta roy, "A Production inventory model with deteriorating items and shortages", Yugoslav Journal or Operation Research, 2 (2004), 219-230.
- [9] HM Wee, "A deterministic lot-size inventory model for deteriorating items with shortages and a declining market", Comput Oper, 22 (1995)345-356.
- [10] TM Whitin,"The theory of inventory management, Princeton": Princeton University Press (1957).
- [11] L.A Zadeh., "Fuzzy sets, Information and Control", 8 (1965), 338-353.

BIOGRAPHY

First Author:

K.Dhanam received the M.Sc degree in Mathematics from Madras University, Tiruchirappalli in 1983. She received the M.Phil degree in Mathematics from



Alagappa University, Karaikudi in January 1990 and received Ph.D. degree in Mathematics from Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli in April 2010. From January 1985 to Febraury 1987, Assistant Professor of Mathematics, Seethalakshmi Arts College for Women, Pallathur.

From March 1987 to May 2017 she has been with the

method, because of the time consuming in Associate Professor of Mathematics, Government Arts College for Women (Autonomous), Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu and she is currently the Principal in Sri Bharathi Arts and Science College for Women, Kaikuruchi, Pudukottai distict, Tamilnadu,India. Her main area of research is Fuzzy

> Second Author: R.Dhanalakshmi received the B.Sc degree in Mathematics from Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli in 2010 and received B.Ed degree in Mathematics from Tamilnadu Tearchers Education University, Chennai in 2011. She received M.Sc and M.Phil

degree in Mathematics from Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli in 2013 and 2014 respectively. She is pursuing research in the Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College for Women (Autonomous), Pudukkottai, Tamilnadu, India. Her main area

of research is Fuzzy Inventory Control.